MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

DALLAS COUNTY HOSPITAL P O BOX 660599 DALLAS TX 75266 0599

Respondent Name

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE CO

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 19

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-2669-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "DID NOT PAY PER MEDICARE DRG"

Amount in Dispute: \$3,746.95

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Requestor billed \$12,925.60 and the carrier reimbursed \$9,178.65 leaving a disputed amount per the DWC060 of \$3,746.95. It is the carrier position that the correct amount has been paid for these dates of service. The Explanation of Benefit Form stated the Requestor used the wrong DRG code." I have requested our bill review department and vendor to review this billing to determine in fact if an inappropriate code was billed. If in fact the correct code is DRG 536, there will be an additional reimbursement from the carrier."

Response Submitted by: Chartis, 4100 Alpha Road, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75244

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 22, 2010 To December 29, 2010	Inpatient Hospital Surgical Services	\$3,746.95	\$3,746.95

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for health care providers to pursue a medical fee dispute.

- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:
 - (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
 - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables."
 - (3) If no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, and an amount cannot be determined by application of the formula to calculate the MAR as outlined in subsection (f) of this section, reimbursement shall be determined in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement).
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f) states that "The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
 - (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
 - (A) 143 percent; unless
 - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent."
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated January 19, 2011

- 1 –(16) –Claim/service lacks information which is needed for adjudication. Additional information is supplied using remittance advice remarks codes whenever appropriate.
- 1 -Please resubmit with a valid DRG code. (X045)

Explanation of benefits dated February 3, 2011

- 1 (W1) –Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment
- 1 No Reduction Available. (VRNA)
- 2 The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance. (Z710)

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did the respondent support denial reason code '16'?
- 2. Can the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f)?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

- 1. The respondent denied reimbursement based upon claim/service lacks information needed for adjudication. 28 TAC §133.3 requires that "Any communication between the health care provider and insurance carrier related to medical bill processing shall be of sufficient, specific detail to allow the respondent to easily identify the information required to resolve the issue or question related to the medical bill. Generic statements that simply state a conclusion such as 'insurance carrier improperly reduced the bill' or 'health care provider did not document" or other similar phrases with no further description of the factual basis for the sender's position does not satisfy the requirements of this section." The Division finds that it is the assertion of the respondent that the requestor billed using an incorrect DRG code, however, the respondent did not submit documentation to support that the requestor billed using an incorrect DRG code. For this reason, the Division finds that the 16 claim adjustment code is not supported. The disputed services will therefore be reviewed per the applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.
- 2. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services can be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f).

 Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated in accordance with 28 TAC §134.404(f)(1)(A) as follows:

The Medicare facility-specific reimbursement amount including outlier payment amount for DRG 536 is \$9.038.88.

This amount multiplied by 143% is \$12,925.60.

The total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) is \$12,925.60.

This amount less the amount previously paid by the respondent of \$9,178.65 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$3,746.95.

The Division concludes that the requestor is entitled to \$3,746.95 additional reimbursement.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$3,746.95.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$3,746.95 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature		
		February 24, 2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.